# 3

# Minutes of the Meeting of Shropshire and Wrekin Fire and Rescue Authority Strategy and Resources Committee held at Headquarters, Shrewsbury on Wednesday, 24 November 2010 at 2.00 pm

## Present

#### Members

Councillors Mrs Barrow (Vice-Chair), Hartin (Chair), Hurst-Knight, Minnery, Picken, Roberts and West

#### Officers

Chief Fire Officer, Deputy Chief Fire Officer, Assistant Chief Fire Officer, Treasurer, Principal Accountant and Management Support Officer

# 1 Apologies for Absence

There were no apologies for absence.

## 2 Declarations of Interest

The Chair reminded Members that they should declare any personal and/or prejudicial interests at this point and that they should leave the meeting room before any item, in which they had a prejudicial interest, was discussed.

There were no declarations of interest.

## 3 Minutes

Members received the minutes of the Strategy and Resources Committee meeting, held on 23 September 2010.

Councillor West referred to item 8 of the minutes and reported that he, the Chief Fire Officer (CFO) and the Treasurer had held meetings with MPs Mark Pritchard MP, Owen Patterson MP and Philip Dunne MP. As a consequence of the meeting with Mark Pritchard MP a meeting had been arranged between the Fire Minister, Bob Neill, and this Fire Authority. The meeting with the Minister was attended by the CFO, the Chair and the Treasurer as well as Daniel Kawczynski MP, Philip Dunne MP and Mark Pritchard MP. It was a constructive meeting, during which the Authority managed to get the idea of rural sparsity over to the Minister, who indicated that sparsity and flooring would be looked at.



It was proposed by Councillor West, seconded by Councillor Roberts, and

**Resolved** that the minutes of the Strategy and Resources Committee meeting, held on 23 September 2010, be agreed and signed by the Chair as a correct record

## 4 **Public Questions**

No questions, statements or petitions had been received from members of the public.

## 5 2011/12 and Later Years Revenue and Capital Budgets

This report brought together the elements of the budget as set out in the reports that followed, and sought the Committee's approval for a budget outline to be recommended to the Authority in December 2010.

The Treasurer explained that normally only expenditure is considered at this point. The Grant settlement is likely to be announced during the first week of December, therefore budget planning has been related to income assumptions, £2.8 million reductions, information from the Comprehensive Spending Review and Public Value outcomes.

As set out in section 5 of the report, it is likely that the Authority will be 'better off' by £233,000. The Treasurer explained that the table on page 3 includes some items from the Public Value list, which were already committed before Public Value was agreed. If these Public Value savings are discounted, then the Authority appears to be slightly worse off.

Page 5 of the report sets the estimated deficits year by year. Councillor Hartin asked if the settlement was for two years. The Treasurer explained that the Fire Minister had indicated that this was likely to be the case. After the two years, there would be a change to local government funding with a consequent change in the settlement.

The Treasurer referred to the table on page 6, which sets out the Public Value reductions. The figures at the bottom of the table will be available to address the deficits set out earlier in the paper. These figures are speculative but the deficit is likely to grow by 2015/16. Councillor Hartin asked why the total savings were now £2.115 million, when Public Value had been looking at reductions of £2.8 million. The Treasurer explained that £638,000 had been taken away, as the savings had already been achieved.

The meeting then agreed to receive and consider papers 5a to 5f before returning to agenda item 5 to consider the recommendations.

## 5a Base Budget plus Committed Change

This report showed the latest position on the base budget plus committed change, following budget reviews by heads of department.



The Principal Accountant talked through the table on page 2 of the report, which set out the revised budget position and explained that some of the committed changes are out of the Authority's control. A full review of the debt charges has been undertaken, which has led to some money being removed. The changes to the Local Government Pension Scheme valuation figure reflect a reduced contribution rate. The committed growth includes Integrated Risk Management Planning legacy items, which are already committed but are still subject to Public Value.

Councillor Hartin asked for an update on the firefighters pension situation. The Principal Accountant reported that there had been an indication that there were no plans to increase the employer contribution. The Chief Fire Officer further commented that it was prudent to include this issue, given that pay inflation may lead from the issues around increased pension contributions for employees, which is currently being rumoured.

**Resolved** that the Committee note the contents of the report, for inclusion in the budget summary shown in report 5

#### 5b Pay and Prices Assumptions

This report set out the possible provision to be made for pay and price inflation in 2011/12 and later years.

The Treasurer explained that he was recommending option 2, as set out in the report, to the Authority due to the possible upward trend in inflation. The downside of this is that it may leave the Authority short in the year occurring and this will then need to be found.

**Resolved** that the Committee recommend that the Fire Authority base its future budget plans at present on option 2 as exemplified in paragraph 5 of the report

#### 5c Efficiencies 2011/12 and Forward Budgets

This report looked at progress in identifying efficiencies for the period 2010/11 to 2015/16.

The Treasurer talked through the table on page 2 of the report. He explained that there was a mistake on the second line of the table, which should read 'ill health retirement costs' not 'ill health retained costs'. This figure had been budgeted on an estimate of three per year but has now dropped to two, with the costs to be phased out at £40,000 a time.

The Treasurer explained that in addition to the pension efficiency, a total of  $\pounds 150,000$  has been included for efficiencies. Two savings, totalling  $\pounds 35,000$  have already been identified, leaving a balance of  $\pounds 115,000$  still to be found. A further  $\pounds 150,000$  will be added in each year plus the growth in ill health retirements.



The Treasurer also explained that a paper on growth items had not been brought to this meeting, as officers have not yet considered the service developments.  $\pounds 200,000$  could come out of the budget, if there are no growth items, or they can be readily absorbed, which would mean that a possible net  $\pounds 85,000$  could be removed from the budget. Further information on growth items will be brought to the December meeting of the Fire Authority.

**Resolved** that the Committee recommend to the Fire Authority that it include  $\pounds 290,000$  for planning the 2011/12 budget, and that it continue with a target of  $\pounds 150,000$  per year for later years

#### 5d Capital Programme 2011/12 to 2015/16

This report set out the current schemes put forward for inclusion in the next five years' budgets. It then set out the potential revenue consequences, subject to public value consideration, including project appraisals, and to a review of financing possibilities.

The Treasurer explained that the schemes detailed in the report have not yet been formally approved.

Councillor Hartin referred to the £100,000, which has been allocated for building improvements at Wellington and asked what this scheme would include. The CFO explained that key areas for improvement had been identified, which will ensure that the accommodation will be of a satisfactory standard for a further five years. Possible opportunities for future development at Telford are being investigated.

Councillor Hartin then referred to the appliance replacement scheme, which currently runs over a 15 year programme. At present there are six appliances, which are due to be replaced in 2015/16. The CFO explained that this had been caused by the move to XL cabs and that officers are currently looking to flatten out the replacement of vehicles for future administrations, if money became available in the short / medium term.

The meeting debated various options for addressing this issue, including the possible movement of appliances between Retained Duty System (RDS) stations, depending on levels of use. The general consensus was that there were options available but that the CFO should decide on the best options for service efficiency and effectiveness.

**Resolved** that the Committee propose to the Authority, for inclusion in its five year budget planning

- a) The schemes set out in the appendix to the report;
- b) The potential funding of these schemes and the maximisation of the capital reserve, if possible; and
- c) The revenue consequences that would flow from these schemes



### 5e Public Value Outcomes 2010

This report set out the summary financial and service planning outcomes arising from the 2010 Public Value review, particularly in respect of the scheduling and nature of proposed budget cuts during the period 2011-2015. The outcomes and proposals set out in the report had been considered and accepted by the Fire Authority's Strategic Risk and Planning Working Group (STRaP).

The Assistant Chief Fire Officer (ACFO) explained that this was a summary of the paper, which had been considered by STRaP at its meeting on 12 November 2010. Since this date, public consultation sessions have been held at Shrewsbury, Telford and Craven Arms, which were attended by 34 invited members of the public. The attendees had been asked about their appetite for the proposed cuts over the period. Statistically 71 percent felt that they were 'fair and reasonable'; 21 percent thought they were 'not acceptable'; 3 percent thought that they 'did not go far enough'; and 3 percent did not respond to the question.

The ACFO explained that there was a mistake in section 5 of the report, which should have read that 'the proposals (summarised in the appendix)' not 'the proposals (summarised in Table 1 above)'

The ACFO explained that this is a four-year plan, which is 'profiled against natural attrition', i.e. the cuts will only work, if they are aligned to people leaving etc. Councillor Hartin asked if this would mean that savings are built up over the period. The ACFO confirmed that it was a frontloaded approach.

A review report on Public Value will be taken to the December meeting of the Fire Authority. Councillor West commented that the Fire Authority must recognise that all of the cuts are integrated and that there is no real room for manoeuvre, especially within the first two years. The ACFO explained that an annual review of public value will be undertaken. He also referred to the issue of union resistance and explained that the unions within Shropshire Fire and Rescue Service have given verbal confirmation that they understand the Public Value outcomes as well as recognising the concept of frontloading. The Unions have been asked to confirm this in writing. The CFO commented that there may be national resistance across the Fire Service but he hoped that this can be managed at a local level.

It was proposed by Councillor West, seconded by Councillor Roberts and

**Resolved** that the Committee:

- a) Note the contents of the report and agree to the recommendations of the STRaP (as detailed in section 5 of the report); and
- b) Endorse the recommendations of STRaP to the next meeting of the Fire Authority on 15 December 2010



## 5f Provisions, Reserves and Funds

This report set out the issues which the Fire Authority will need to consider as part of its annual review of provisions, reserves and funds.

The Treasurer explained that this is not part of the revenue budget but it does need to be considered as part of the budget planning process. The Treasurer drew Members' attention to the General Reserve and explained that the pay and prices issue could be reviewed in coming years, if necessary.

With regard to the Pensions Liabilities and Other Staff issues reserve, the Treasurer explained that the bulk of this is linked to the Retained Duty System pension issue, the estimated cost of which is growing each year. The settlement of this issue is 'still around the corner', although the Principal Accountant has been informed that a decision is due to be reached soon with a statutory instrument for consultation being developed.

The Treasurer referred to the Un-earmarked Capital Reserve, as set out on page 4 of the report. It is estimated that this could be £900,000 by the end of next year, which could be used instead of borrowing, for example to address IT issues or potential work at the Telford site.

The CFO urged caution with the reserves to allow freedom of decision making in the future, particularly as opportunities to replace reserves may not be as readily available in coming years.

The Treasurer referred to the Precept freeze grant and explained that there is a school of thought, which advises ignoring this and collecting the money, thereby taking a chance in the fifth year of the budget, if the grant remains. Both the Treasurer and CFO, however, recommended that the Authority should take advantage of the Government offer for year 1 but would need to look at precept increases in years 2 and 3, if it were to balance the budget without significant service reductions.

**Resolved** that the Committee propose to the Fire Authority that it:

- a) Confirm the existing policy and continue the Equipment Replacement Provision;
- b) Review the level of the General Reserve as the current budget position becomes clearer;
- c) Re-price the General, Earmarked Capital, and Pension Liabilities and Other Staff Issues Reserves; and
- Confirm the other earmarked reserves at their current levels, subject to reviewing the issues raised on pensions and un-foreseen capital schemes.

At this point the meeting revisited item 5 on the agenda.



# 5 2011/12 and Later Years Revenue and Capital Budgets

It was proposed by Councillor Barrow, seconded by Councillor Minnery and

**Resolved** that the Committee:

- a) Approve the revised expenditure figures as a basis for developing the budget at the Fire Authority on 15 December 2010;
- b) Approve seeking to secure the precept freeze grant by setting a zero increase in precept in 2011/12; and
- c) Approve the current policy for reserves and provisions, (subject to addressing the outstanding issues highlighted), at the December meeting of the Fire Authority

# 6 Capital Update on Activity and Finance

The purpose of this report was to provide an overview of all the capital schemes within the Brigade and their current status.

The CFO informed the meeting that two of the schemes, carried forward from 2009/10, are on hold and are being reviewed by the ACFO. These are Document Management (Intranet) and Retained Duty Service Availability System 2008/09 and 2009/10. The Light Pumping Unit scheme is currently being reviewed by the Resources Manager but is likely to be cancelled.

The CFO also advised that the tender notice for the Fire Kit (Personal Protective Equipment) Replacement scheme has been issued.

**Resolved** that the Committee note the progress so far on current schemes

## 7 Financial Performance to September 2010, including the Mid Year Treasury Report

This report provided information on the financial performance of the Service, and sought approval for action, where necessary.

The Principal Accountant talked through the paper and highlighted the following to the meeting:

- The debt charges review has released £145,000, due to savings in the base budget.
- There may be a potential saving, if pay awards are zero.
- The virements detailed on page 3 of the report have been agreed under powers delegated to the CFO and the Treasurer.
- The Authority is operating within its prudential indicators.
- Cash flow is currently running higher than anticipated.
- There is a mistake on page 5 of the report, where the Balance of Investments at 30 Sept 2010 should read £7,660,000 not £5,660,000.
- The Public Works Loan Board rates, quoted on page 5 of the report, are those prior to the Comprehensive Spending Review announcement.



Councillor Minnery asked if there was an opportunity to reduce any borrowing if there was more cash available. The Treasurer explained that it would not pay to repay any borrowing earlier, as the Authority will incur a penalty for early repayment.

It was proposed by Councillor Minnery, seconded by Councillor West and

**Resolved** that the Committee recommend that the Fire Authority:

- a) Note the position of the revenue budget;
- b) Approve virements to the revenue budget where requested;
- c) Note the position on the capital programme;
- d) Note performance against prudential indicators to date in 2010/11;
- e) Note the mid year review of treasury activities for 2010/11; and
- f) Note the information provided on balance sheet items.

The meeting closed at 3.15 pm.

Chair.....

Date.....

