Shropshire and Wrekin Fire and Rescue Authority 20 July 2011

# **Corporate Risk Management Summary**

### **Report of the Chief Fire Officer**

For further information about this report please contact Paul Raymond, Chief Fire Officer, on 01743 260201, or Martin Timmis, Head of Operations and Risk, on 01743 260285.

### 1 Purpose of Report

This is the fourth Annual Corporate Risk Management Summary Report to Members. These reports aim to inform Members about the corporate level risk management work that has been undertaken during the previous twelve months, as well as summarising the risk environment, in which the Service is currently operating.

# 2 Recommendations

The Fire Authority is asked to note the contents of this report.

## 3 Background

Ongoing monitoring of the Authority's corporate risk management work is a responsibility of the Service's Chief Officer's Group (COG) and is also a delegated responsibility for the Strategy and Resources Committee. However, risk management best practice guidance also indicates that the full Fire Authority should receive a summary report on at least an annual basis.

Because this report only comes to the full Fire Authority annually, much of the report consists of detailed explanation of the information contained in each section. The Risk Manager will be available to answer any questions Members may have about the risks described in this report.

### 4 Local Government Act 1972, Schedule 12A Reporting Exemptions

The public of Shropshire have a right to know that their Fire and Rescue Authority is taking appropriate measures to deal with risks that could potentially impact on its ability to deliver an effective emergency service. However, the Authority is exposed to certain risks, the public disclosure of which could in itself present a risk to the Authority.



For this reason, although an 'open session' version of this report will always be made available, where an assessment against the requirements of the Local Government Act 1972, Schedule 12A indicates it would be appropriate, any exempt information will be excluded. Exempt information will then be incorporated in a separate 'closed session' report. 'Open reports' will include all information about sensitive risks that is not likely to compromise the Authority (Risk ID, risk assessment results, Risk Owner), with only the sensitive information being exempt (Risk Description and any control measures included).

This approach should help to ensure that the public has as much information as possible about the risk environment the Authority is operating in, whilst at the same time limiting any damage that could be caused through its inappropriate use.

### 5 Setting the Authority's Risk Acceptance and Risk Tolerance levels

The assessment of risk is based on the analysis of the potential for the risk to do harm (the detrimental impact on the Authority) and the likelihood that the risk will occur. The potential impact on the Authority is measured against three criteria:

- a. Financial impact;
- b. Reputational impact; and
- c. Impact on the ability for the Authority to deliver its corporate aims and objectives.

Using widely accepted principles of risk assessment each risk is "scored", allowing the Authority to target appropriate resources at those risks likely to have greatest impact. Further information is available in the previous Corporate Risk Management Summary paper, dated 21 July 2010.

The remainder of this report provides summary data on the current contents of the Authority's Corporate Risk Register.

### 6 Risk Management progress

This section includes information about all events that have led to the current status with the Authority's Corporate Risk Management system.

### January 2011

This Committee received the last summary report.

The Risk Manager met with the Shrewsbury Programme Manager to discuss and assess all of the programme's risks.

Because of the anticipated effect of austerity measures The Chief Officers' Group met to discuss the risks, for which they are responsible. These were discussed with the Risk Manager and the Corporate Risk register updated accordingly.



### February 2011

The Service's Risk Management Group met and discussed all matters relating to the Service's risk environment and outcomes from audits undertaken by Internal Audit. The meeting was attended by Internal Audit. Significant progress was reported against outstanding recommendations.

The Service received the results of the 2010/11 Audit of Risk Management. Audit findings are evaluated to provide a level of assurance on the effectiveness of internal control. These evaluations are defined as 'Good', 'Reasonable', 'Limited' and 'Unsatisfactory'.

#### The overall level of assurance for this audit is: Good.

This review has confirmed that Risk Management processes have continued to become embedded into the Authority. A comprehensive Risk Management Strategy is in place, roles and responsibilities have been determined and a system for recording risks and their control measures adopted. The Protocol provides a comprehensive source of guidance on Risk Management.

#### March 2011

The Head of Operations and Risk met with the Shrewsbury Programme Manager to discuss programme risks.

The Service's Health and Safety Committee met.

#### April 2011

The Head of Operations and Risk began the annual review of all risks and discussed these with appropriate managers. This was completed on 1 May 2011.

### 7 New Risks

No new threats have been added to the Corporate Risk Register since the last summary report.

### 8 Closed Risks

A total of eight risks have been closed since the last report. These are detailed in Table 1 on the following page.

Each of these risks has been removed from the register following the Chief Officers' Group meeting in January 2011.



Threat or Opportunity	Risk ID	Description of deleted risk	Risk Owner	Control Owner	Risk with NO Controls	Risk with ALL Controls	Current Risk	Links to other risks
Threat	74	If the material economic uncertainties that exist in the country were to materialise, then the ability for the Service to meet its stated aims and objectives could be severely curtailed.	Paul Raymond	Executive Group	9	9	9	
Threat	51	If the Brigade's data quality systems lack the appropriate quality processes and controls, then the Brigade's funding and its allocation of resources against stated objectives may be compromised.	Steve Worrall	Ged Edwards	9	1	6	21, 16, 26, 44, 48
Threat	70	If Equality and Diversity is not fully mainstreamed and integrated into all Authority activities, there will be adverse impact on financial, ethical and service delivery issues.	Paul Raymond	Lisa Vickers	9	2	3	
Threat	21	If the Authority does not meet all financial regulations, then it may be subject to fraudulent activity, unnecessary or illegal (ultra-vires) expenditure.	Paul Raymond	Keith Dixon	9	3	3	
Threat	44	There are risks inherent in the Fire Authority working in partnership with other agencies/groups. If these are not properly controlled they could potentially impact on the financial standing and reputation of the Fire Authority.	Paul Raymond	John Redmond	6	1	3	30, 32, 41
Threat	76	If new legislation is published, that relates to the Service, and it is not appropriately actioned, then the Service could be penalised with potential consequences on its finances and reputation.	Paul Raymond	John Redmond	6	1	2	
Threat		If the Brigade does not have appropriate procedures in place to meet the requirements of the Data Protection Act, then it may be subject to penalties.	Steve Worrall	Louise Goodhead	6	1	1	
Opportunity	48	If the Authority does not monitor its budgets closely then it could miss the opportunity to reinvest identified under- spends where this occurs in its various budgets, or take action to deal with any loss of service that may have occurred.	Paul Raymond	Joanne Coadey	3	9	9	

Table 1: Risks deleted during period December 2010 to May 2011



# 9 Current Entries in the Corporate Risk Register

This section provides an overall summary of all entries in the Fire Authority's electronic Corporate Risk Register. Table 2 below includes previous, as well as current, figures for comparative purposes.

Descriptor	Number	Comment
Total number of entries	51	<b>Previous figure 51</b> This will increase over time. The rate at which it increases will demonstrate how active the Risk Management process is.
Total number of threats	47	Previous figure 47 Comment as above
Total number of opportunities	4	Previous figure 4 Comment as above
Total number of closed entries	36	Previous figure 28 Comment as above
Number of 'live' threats	14	<b>Previous figure 21</b> Whilst we do not want to discourage risk reporting, we would want this to remain within a manageable number. Identifying the optimum number of manageable risks to have in the risk register will come through experience.
Average risk level of all currently 'live' threats.	6.00	Previous figure 5.48 This is on a scale where 1 is minimal risk, through to 9, which is maximum risk. Although there will inevitably be times when this figure increases (especially in the early stages of managing high risks), we would be looking for this figure to show a general downward trend. This would demonstrate that the Fire Authority is successfully managing its risks. In this period we have removed seven threats of which five low impacts. The overall risk level is therefore increased as most remaining threats have high risk levels.
Number of 'live' opportunities	1	<b>Previous figure 2</b> We would be looking for this figure to increase, but again not to the extent that it becomes unmanageable. Inclusion of opportunities in the risk register is an area that is under development within both this and other fire authorities' risk registers. The importance and usefulness of this side of risk management is expected to increase as the Fire Authority's risk management process matures.
Average level of opportunity	9	Previous figure 6.5 Scale of 1 to 9 We would tend to want this figure to grow. As we now only have one identified "opportunity" and this is rated as a high impact, the level of opportunity is artificially high.



### 10 Overall Summary

There are now 10 risks assessed as being above "tolerance level". If the risks currently in the Risk Register actually materialised, they are likely to impact on reputation slightly more than on Finance and Objectives. However, the control measures currently in place are slightly more effective at managing down the risk to reputation and objectives, than they are for the financial impacts.

The most significant risks now facing the Fire Authority are Risks 17, 66, 72, 78 and 79. Details about these particular risks, including how they are being dealt with, are summarised in the remainder of this section.



Figure 1 – Risk 17

Risk ID:	17						
Risk Description:	If the Part-time Workers Regulations Employment Tribunal goes against Fire Authority's, then there is potential for the Authority to have to pay significant sums of money out in court costs, and backdated pension contributions.						
Risk Owner:	Paul Raymond (Chief Fire Officer)						
Control Owner:	Keith Dixon (Treasurer)						
Risk Score based upon: a. NO Controls in place: b. ALL Controls in place: c. CURRENT Controls in	9 9 place: 9						
Actions taken to date:							
discriminated against unde	eported that the Retained Firefighters had been er the P/T Workers Regulations. This was reported Liability appears to be limited to the introduction of n, which was in 2000.						
Administrators will be invo progress this issue. CLG- Government Employers.	Fire Authorities that Pension Account lved in the negotiation that needs to take place to officers say matters are being handled by the Local However due to the sensitivities involved in the ervice has not been able to get any further progressing at this time.						
process, and the sums ea	The financing of this risk has been reviewed as part of the 2009/10 budget process, and the sums earmarked to cover this risk have been put into a general reserve dealing with 'Pensions and other staff issues'.						
When closing the 2009/10 accounts the reserve was increased from $\pounds$ 350,000 to $\pounds$ 1,050,000.							
Settlement has been agreed on compensation payable for Terms & Conditions. The estimated cost is circa £250,000, which will come from the Pensions liabilities and other staff issues Reserve. Payments should be completed by end September 2011. However, there have been no expressions on Pensions liability at this time. The remaining Reserve should be sufficient to meet anticipated costs.							



### Figure 2 – Risk 66

Risk ID:	66
Risk Description:	If the FireLink/FireControl projects are not effectively managed they may have a significant impact on current and future service delivery. Risks relate to effective management of costs, resources and functionality, prior to, during and post-implementation. Amalgamation of risks ID. 26, 32 and 47
Risk Owner:	Paul Raymond (Chief Fire Officer)
Control Owner:	Chief Officer's Group
Risk Score based upon:	
<ul><li>a. NO Controls in place:</li><li>b. ALL Controls in place:</li><li>c. CURRENT Controls in</li></ul>	
Actions taken to date:	
from within the Brigade, to	I meeting, held with various departmental practitioners bok an overview of the RMB RCC risk register and the Corporate Risk Register.
was taken to Policy Group to discuss this issue on a deal with all aspects of thi	he outcomes from the discussions by the practitioners b. It was agreed that the Executive Group should meet regular basis. They developed a Risk Action Plan to is issue. The Executive Group have now met and heir Risk Action Plan on nine separate occasions.
back to reflect delays in s implementation date move has potential implications	the National Project Team moved the completion date ome aspects of the project. Shropshire's ed back by 9 months to 14 February 2011. This delay on the Shrewsbury Project and, for this reason, the th risks, by the Executive Group, has now been brough
close down is under way. project which was linked t completed. This means th communication, both verb Communications may be support in lobbying Gover	ember 2010 that RCC would be abandoned. Project This risk will be closed in due course. The FireLink to the RCC to provide communications will not be hat we now need to establish local arrangements for bal and data. Solutions to ensure continued C&C and unaffordable. CFO has written to CFOA to seek their rnment for financial support to individual services. ant data costs, and renegotiation of Airwave contracts

The status of this risk has been amended to: ISSUE.



Figure 3 – Risk 72

Risk ID:	72							
Risk Description:	If the Shrewsbury project is not effectively managed it may have a significant impact on current and future service delivery. Risks relate to effective management of costs, resources and functionality, prior to, during and post-implementation.							
Risk Owner:	Paul Raymond (Chief Fire Officer)							
Control Owner:	Chief Officer's Group							
Risk Score based upon: a. NO Controls in place: b. ALL Controls in place: c. CURRENT Controls in	9 9							
Actions taken to date:								
	ing was held on 14 July, during which the initial risk ed. A Risk Action Plan (RAP) was developed for this sks identified to date.							
with the refurbishment of t departments and functions management of the design	stablished to deliver the required works associated the site. These activities include moving s off site, the appointment of an architect, n process and construction works, and management orks within the agreed overall budget.							
site and relocated to the T	Workshops, Stores and Technical Services have been successfully moved off site and relocated to the TA Centre at Sundorne. The Fire Safety Department and District Managers moved to Hafren House, Shelton in early June.							
All activities and projects are being co-ordinated by a Programme Manager who has developed a Programme Brief and Programme Plan. The Programme Brief and Plan ensure that the programme has corporate sponsorship and support, the appropriate controls and reporting streams and adopts Management of Risk (MOR) methodology.								
As a result of on-going ris	k monitoring Risk 78 has been identified							
The current programme	remains due to be completed by October 2011.							



### Figure 4 – Risk 78

Risk ID:	78						
Risk Description:	If the aging IT network is unable to sustain the introduction of new and replacement systems and software, the service may lose its ability to communicate effectively, including loss of the command & control system						
Risk Owner:	Steve Worrall (Assistant Chief Fire Officer)						
Control Owner:	IT Manager						
Risk Score based upon: a. NO Controls in place: b. ALL Controls in place: c. CURRENT Controls in	9 9 place: 9						
Actions taken to date:							
A specialist contractor, Capita, has been engaged to carry out a detailed audit of the current network. Capita has completed the review and reported findings to COG and IT managers on 19 August 2010. This has resulted in a number of priority recommendations which are being taken forward to improve the current performance of the network, deliver adequate resilience, and provide a measure of future proofing (until approximately 2017).							
Further work to ensure the stability of the network has commenced.							
Further work to ensure the stability of the network has commenced. A project has been set up to look specifically at the operational technological issues such as MDTs and work packages have been issued for the planning of the move across to the new HQ. There are work packages for the WAN and communication links into the new HQ, a network re-build and the lift and shift of the command and control system.							



#### Figure 5 – Risk 79

Risk ID:	79						
Risk Description:	If Mobile Data Terminals are not receiving updates, or are unable to display current information there is an increased risk to firefighter safety. Provision of up to date information is a corporate responsibility.						
Risk Owner:	Steve Worrall (Assistant Chief Fire Officer)						
Control Owner:	IT Manager						
Risk Score based upon: a. NO Controls in place: b. ALL Controls in place: c. CURRENT Controls in	9 9 place: 9						
Actions taken to date:							
The network manager has been tasked with ensuring the availability of data to MDT. Regular failures of information download have been identified and an interim data solution has been provided. Regular manual update of data will be required until cutover to the new interim Command & Control System is complete.							
	A physical update of information on all MDTs has been carried out to guarantee that all information is up to date.						

### 11 Financial Implications

The financial implications are detailed in the main body of the report.

# 12 Legal Comment

There is no legislative duty for the Fire Authority to assess the risks to which its business objectives are faced. Corporate Risk Management does, however, form a fundamental element of good corporate management practices.

The Fire Authority has the power to act as proposed in this report. Care will need to be taken to ensure that the provisions of Schedule 12A of Local Government Act 1972 are correctly applied.



# 13 Equality Impact Assessment

Officers have considered the Service's Brigade Order on Equality Impact Assessments (Personnel 5 Part 2) and have determined that the information contained within this report is purely historical summary data. As such, it contains no proposals for changes to current policies and procedures, which could involve discriminatory practices or differential impacts upon specific groups. An Initial Equality Impact Assessment has, therefore, not been completed.

## 14 Appendix

Detailed information on all current entries in the Corporate Risk Register

### 15 Background Papers

There are no background papers associated with this report.



#### Appendix to report 12 on Corporate Risk Management Summary Shropshire and Wrekin Fire and Rescue Authority 20 July 2011

# Detailed information on all current entries in the Corporate Risk Register (in order of 'Current Risk' level)

Threat or Opportunity	Risk ID	Description	Risk Owner	Control Owner	Risk with NO Controls	Risk with ALL Controls	Current Risk	Links to other risks
Threat	17	If the Retained Firefighters "Working Time" court case goes against Fire Authority's, then there is potential for the Authority to have to pay significant sums of money out in court costs, and backdated pension contributions (Emp Circular 20/2005).	Paul Raymond	Keith Dixon	9	9	9	
Threat	66	If the FireLink/FireControl projects are not effectively managed they may have a significant impact on current and future service delivery. Risks relate to effective management of costs, resources and functionality, prior to, during and post- implementation. Amalgamation of risks ID 26, 32 and 47	Paul Raymond	Executive Group	9	3	9	26, 32, 47
Threat	78	If the aging IT network is unable to sustain the introduction of new and replacement systems and software, the service may lose its ability to communicate effectively, including loss of the command & control system	Steve Worrall	IT Manager	9	1	9	66,72, 65



Threat or Opportunity	Risk ID	Description	Risk Owner	Control Owner	Risk with NO Controls	Risk with ALL Controls	Current Risk	Links to other risks
Threat	79	If Mobile Data Terminals are not receiving updates, or are unable to display current information there is an increased risk to firefighter safety. Provision of up to date information is a corporate responsibility	Steve Worrall	IT Manager	9	2	9	78
Threat	72	If the Shrewsbury project is not effectively managed it may have a significant impact on current and future service delivery. Risks relate to effective management of costs, resources and functionality, prior to, during and post-implementation.	Paul Raymond	Executive Group	9	6	6	
Threat	75	If the "opt-out" option the UK currently holds from the European Working Time Directive is removed, then this could have an impact on the availability of RDS staff.	Louise McKenzie	Lisa Vickers	6	4	6	
Threat	35	Information exempt from publication by virtue of the Local Governments Act 1972, Schedule 12A, paragraph 4.	Paul Raymond	John Redmond	6	3	6	12, 23, 36



Threat or Opportunity	Risk ID	Description	Risk Owner	Control Owner	Risk with NO Controls	Risk with ALL Controls	Current Risk	Links to other risks
Threat	11	If the county suffers a harsh winter, then there is a chance that the Service will not be able to deliver an appropriate level of service to the people of Shropshire.	Steve Worrall	Martin Timmis	9	6	6	20
Threat	20	If the organisation is not able to use its buildings, its people and/or its other resources due to a disaster scenario, then it is unlikely to be able to deliver essential services to the communities of Shropshire (not including strike action).	Paul Raymond	Martin Timmis	6	4	6	35, 11
Threat	64	If the implications of the Government's proposals for the Long Term Capability Management of all 'New Dimensions' assets (as described in FSC 26/2007) are not fully considered, then there is a risk that the Authority's budgets may be detrimentally impacted into the future.	Paul Raymond	John Redmond	6	6	6	33



Threat or Opportunity	Risk ID	Description	Risk Owner	Control Owner	Risk with NO Controls	Risk with ALL Controls	Current Risk	Links to other risks
Threat	68	If the Brigade does not have policies and procedures, relating to water rescue incidents, that effectively balance the risks to staff versus the risk to the public, then the Fire Authority could be subject to prosecution under health and safety law or a significant loss in reputation.	Steve Worrall	Martin Timmis	9	2	6	
Threat	65	If the implications of the various ICT projects, currently ongoing in the Brigade, are not coordinated, then there is a risk that the individual projects will not be implemented effectively.	Steve Worrall	Ged Edwards	6	1	4	
Threat	80	If the Service fails to implement the HMG Security Policy Framework measures and confidential, restricted, protected or secret data were to be mislaid, then the Service would be liable to prosecution and/or loss of reputation	Steve Worrall	GM OPS	3	2	3	



Threat or Opportunity	Risk ID	Description	Risk Owner	Control Owner	Risk with NO Controls	Risk with ALL Controls	Current Risk	Links to other risks
Threat		If neighbouring brigades suffer industrial action, then the support from those brigades during large incidents in our county is likely to be reduced thereby impacting on our ability to deal with incidents effectively.	John Redmond	Martin Timmis	2	2	2	35, 36
Opportunity		If the Authority is not clear as to the rules that apply to Governments specific Funding, then it could miss the opportunity to seek additional funding for the activities it is required to undertake in order to meet the Government's Modernisation Agenda and local priorities.	Paul Raymond	Keith Dixon	4	4	4	64

