Shropshire and Wrekin Fire Authority Strategy and Resources Committee 13 November 2008

Capital Programme 2009/10 to 2013/14

Report of the Treasurer

For further information about this report please contact Keith Dixon, Treasurer, on 01743 260202.

1 Purpose of Report

This report sets out the current schemes put forward for inclusion in the next five years' budgets. It then sets out the potential revenue consequences, subject to project appraisals and a review of financing possibilities.

2 Recommendations

The Committee is recommended to propose to the Authority for inclusion in its five year budget planning:-

- a) The schemes set out in the appendix, subject to reports on the "Shrewsbury Scheme";
- The potential funding of these schemes and the maximisation of the capital reserve if possible; and
- c) The revenue consequences that would flow from these schemes.

3 Background

The Authority has already agreed capital programmes for 2008/09 and earlier years. It has also agreed how these schemes are funded and the revenue consequences for future years. These figures are included in earlier papers as commitments.

There is now reduced scope for changing the revenue consequences by altering policy on how these committed schemes are financed. This follows changes in accounting rules which attempt to link funding to the anticipated life of the asset acquired.

This report deals with the options available for new schemes starting next year.



1

4 The Starts Programme

The schemes currently under consideration are set out in the appendix, and can be summarised as follows:-

Year of Start	Total Project Cost of Starts	Revenue Consequences							
	£ 000	2009/10 £ 000	2010/11 £ 000	2011/12 £ 000	2012/13 £ 000	2013/14 £ 000			
2009/10	2,150	110	238	238	238	238			
2010/11	3,450		122	348	348	348			
2011/12	840			34	137	137			
2012/13	720				31	121			
2013/14	535					26			
	7,695	110	360	620	754	870			
Less funding by capital receipt		-	-	25	- 50	- 50	-		
		110	360	595	704	820			

It should be noted that revenue consequences are limited to financing costs – any other costs need to be flagged up as part of the project appraisals. Also, the Authority is presently only committing itself to 2009/10 scheme starts, and all schemes are currently shown as being spent in the year of the start. However experience shows that payments often slip into later years, thereby slowing the build-up of costs and resulting in revenue underspendings. In the case of the Shrewsbury Project there is the potential for major variations, and in addition the Authority may have to commit itself in February to that part of the project currently shown as a 2010/11 start.

The revenue consequences are calculated in the following way. It is assumed that a loan will be raised at a mid point in the year – at 5%. Provision to repay the loan is required at least at a rate of 4% (Minimum Revenue Provision or MRP) from 1 April in the year following when the loan is raised, i.e. a further 4% is added from 2010/11 onward for 2009/10 schemes. The Authority has decided and is now required to set an appropriate MRP where asset life is shorter than 25 years. Similarly where assets are financed from the capital reserve, repayments for their replacement are made over the life of the asset.

Officers are currently producing project appraisals for each scheme to confirm their service value, the capital cost, phasing of expenditure and revenue consequences. There is therefore scope for these figures to change, especially if there are any knock-on revenue consequences such as running costs of property or systems.



One major uncertainty is the "Shrewsbury Project". At present our advisors are looking at all options with a view to bringing these to the January meeting of the Committee. The best way forward can then be recommended to the Authority in February as part of an overall budget package. There are also uncertainties on the funding side, especially the final decision on up to £1.0 million capital grant phased over 2009/10 and 2010/11, the amount available in the capital reserve, and the capital receipt generated by the Shrewsbury Project.

5 Summary

The main overall question concerns sustainability. Notwithstanding the proposed capital grant, Government supported borrowing totals a little over £400,000 each year, therefore the Authority would be undertaking prudential borrowing and is required to take into account prudence and sustainability as well as the merits of the schemes involved. This year's programme is dominated by the "Shrewsbury Project". The current paper does not take account of grant, or the availability of capital reserve funding. Nevertheless the Authority will need to evaluate the options presented in January in the light of Prudential Guidelines.

6 Financial Implications

The financial implications are as outlined in the report.

7 Legal Comment

There are no direct legal implications arising from this report.

8 Equality Impact Assessment

Officers have considered the Service's Brigade Order on Equality Impact Assessments (Personnel 5 Part 2) and have decided that there are no discriminatory practices or differential impacts upon specific groups arising from this report. An Initial Equality Impact Assessment has not, therefore, been completed.

9 Appendices

Capital Programme 2009/10 to 2013/14

10 Background Papers

There are no background papers associated with this report.



Implications of all of the following have been considered and, where they are significant (i.e. marked with an asterisk), the implications are detailed within the report itself.

Balanced Score Card		Integrated Risk Management	
		Planning	
Business Continuity Planning		Legal	*
Capacity		Member Involvement	
Civil Contingencies Act		National Framework	
Comprehensive Performance Assessment		Operational Assurance	
Efficiency Savings		Retained	
Environmental		Risk and Insurance	
Financial	*	Staff	
Fire Control/Fire Link		Strategic Planning	*
Information Communications and		West Midlands Regional	
Technology		Management Board	
Freedom of Information / Data Protection /		Equality Impact Assessment	*
Environmental Information			

Appendix to report on Capital Programme 2009/10 to 2013/14 Shropshire and Wrekin Fire Authority Strategy and Resources Committee 13 November 2008

Scheme		Total	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
2009/10							
RDS Availability System	Fund	25	4	4	4	4	4
Document Management System	Fund	40	8	8	8	8	8
Document Storage System	Fund	60	12	12	12	12	12
Training Facilites	Fund	50	7	7	7	7	7
Firekit Replacement	Fund	250	36	36	36	36	36
Retaining approval for WL scheme	Fund	100					
Shrewsbury Project	Loan	900	23	81	81	81	81
Building Improvements	Loan	105	3	9	9	9	9
Appliance Replacement	Loan	370	10	48	48	48	48
Light Pumping Unit	Loan	250	7	33	33	33	33
			110	238	238	238	238
2010/11							
Retaining approval for WL scheme	Fund	50					
Firekit Replacement	Fund	250		36	36	36	36
Training Facilities	Fund	50		7	7	7	7
Shrewsbury Project	Loan	2,600		65	234	234	234
Appliance replacement	Loan	185		5	24	24	24
Building Improvements	Loan	65		3	9	9	9
BA Set Upgrade	Loan	250		6	38	38	38
				122	348	348	348
2011/12							
Training Facilities	Fund	50			7	7	7
Information Technology	Fund	50			7	7	7
Building Improvements	Loan	65			3	9	9
Appliance replacement	Loan	555			14	98	98
Water Carriers	Loan	120			3	16	16
					34	137	137
2012/13							
Training Facilities	Fund	50				7	7
Information Technology	Fund	50				7	7
Building Improvements	Loan	65				3	9
Appliance replacement	Loan	555				14	98
						31	121
2013/14							
Training Facilities	Fund	50					7
Information Technology	Fund	50					7
Building Improvements	Loan	65					3
Appliance replacement	Loan	370					9
							26
			110	360	620	754	870